ENTRANT CAN COME IN AND BUY BELLSOUTH'S RETAIL SERVICE,
OR RATHER A WHOLESALE EQUIVALENT TO IT, RESELL IT IN THE
MARKET UNDER ITS OWN BRAND NAME. NOW, ALTHOUGH THAT'S
GOING TO BE A RAPID ENTRY TECHNIQUE, ALL YOU END UP WITH
ARE RESELLERS IN THE MARKET BASICALLY REOFFERING
BELLSOUTH'S RETAIL SERVICE. THERE'S VERY LITTLE ABILITY
TO CHANGE THE WAY THE SERVICE LOOKS AND THERE'S LITTLE
ABILITY TO PUT PRICING PRESSURE ON BELLSOUTH. NEVERTHELESS, IT IS A TECHNIQUE THAT CARRIERS CAN USE TO ENTER
THE MARKET QUICKLY AND COMPETE WITH BELLSOUTH.

THE HEART OF THE ACT, HOWEVER, I
THINK GOES TO THE SECOND MAIN ENTRY TECHNIQUE. THE HEART
OF THE ACT IS THE PRINCIPLE THAT CARRIERS CAN GO TO
BELLSOUTH AND BUY NETWORK INGREDIENTS FROM BELLSOUTH,
NETWORK ELEMENTS, AND USE THOSE INGREDIENTS TO BECOME A
LOCAL TELEPHONE COMPANY AND OFFER SERVICE. THOSE NETWORK
INGREDIENTS, BASIC INGREDIENTS, ARE THE NETWORK ELEMENTS
ON THE CHART OVER THERE IN THE CORNER. TODAY WE HAVE
LONG DISTANCE COMPETITION BECAUSE A POLICY DECISION WAS
MADE TEN YEARS AGO THAT SAID LONG DISTANCE CARRIERS COULD
USE THE BELL NETWORK TO PROVIDE LONG DISTANCE SERVICES.
THE ACT BASICALLY TAKES THAT PRINCIPLE AND EXTENDS IT ONE
STEP FURTHER AND SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO GO TO A FUTURE
WHERE EVERYBODY CAN OFFER ANY SERVICE BY ENABLING

CARRIERS TO BUY THE BELLSOUTH NETWORK AS A BASIC SET OF INGREDIENTS AND USING IT TO OFFER THEIR SERVICES.

THE ISSUES ON NETWORK INGREDIENTS, PRETTY SIMPLE: HOW MANY DO YOU MAKE AVAILABLE, WHAT PRICE DO YOU CHARGE, AND MAY CARRIERS COMBINE THEM? AS BELLSOUTH INDICATED, THEY DISAGREE ON WHAT COST MEANS. MY TESTIMONY AND THE TESTIMONY OF DR. KASERMAN INDICATES THAT COST IS ECONOMIC COST. THERE DOESN'T APPEAR TO BE A LOT OF DISPUTE ABOUT THAT IN ECONOMICS AT LEAST. WHAT INGREDIENTS NEED TO BE MADE AVAILABLE? AGAIN, THERE SEEMS TO BE GENERAL CONSENSUS ON WHAT ELEMENTS NEED TO BE AVAILABLE. CAN CARRIERS COMBINE THEM? THIS IS PROBABLY THE KEY. BELLSOUTH RECOGNIZES THAT IT HAS A LEGAL OBLIGATION TO ALLOW CARRIERS TO COMBINE ANY OF THESE INGREDIENTS THEY DESIRE TO OFFER ANY SERVICE THAT THEY WANT TO OFFER. THIS IS A CRITICAL ELEMENT OF THE ACT BECAUSE IT IS THROUGH THIS ABILITY OF BUYING THESE GENERIC INGREDIENTS AND CREATING THEIR OWN SERVICES THAT CARRIERS WILL BE ABLE TO INNOVATE AND OFFER DIFFERENT SERVICES TO SOUTH CAROLINA CONSUMERS.

MR. LIGHTSEY USED THE EXAMPLE OF CALL WAITING AND SUGGESTED THAT IT MADE SENSE FOR THIS COMMISSION TO NOT LET CARRIERS BUY THE CAPABILITY IN THE BELLSOUTH NETWORK TO OFFER CALL WAITING BUT INSTEAD COULD

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

ONLY RESALE BELLSOUTH'S SERVICE. HIS EXPLANATION WAS THAT TODAY BELLSOUTH GETS \$3.40 AND THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO KEEP THE \$3.40 FROM GOING DOWN AS COMPETITORS COME INTO THE MARKET AND OFFER ALTERNATIVES. HE IMPLIED TO YOU THAT, UNDER THE AT&T VIEW OF THE WORLD, THEY WOULD GET TO OFFER CALL WAITING FOR FREE. I HAVE TO DISAGREE WITH MR. LIGHTSEY IN THE SENSE THAT I THINK HE IGNORED A VERY IMPORTANT PIECE OF THAT. UNDER THE AT&T PROPOSAL UNDER THE F.C.C. RULES, AN ENTRANT CAN'T OFFER ANY SERVICE WITHOUT FULLY COMPENSATING BELLSOUTH FOR THE NETWORK COMPONENTS THAT IT WOULD PURCHASE TO PROVIDE SERVICE. AT&T WOULD ONLY BE ABLE TO OFFER ANY SERVICE IF IT AGREED TO PAY THE FULL COST OF THE NETWORK ELEMENTS THAT IT INTENDS TO USE. SO IT'S NOT A QUESTION OF AT&T GETTING TO OFFER SOMETHING FOR FREE; IT'S ONLY A QUESTION OF AT&T COMPENSATING BELLSOUTH FOR THE COST OF THE NETWORK ELEMENTS AND THEN PROVIDING THE SERVICES. OF COURSE, IN THE BEGINNING ALL OF THESE NETWORK ELEMENTS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE PURCHASED FROM BELLSOUTH. THERE ARE NO OTHER NETWORK PROVIDERS IN THE MARKET TODAY AND IT'S GOING TO TAKE MANY YEARS FOR COMPETITIVE LOCAL NETWORKS TO BE DEVELOPED.

ON THIS CHART OVER HERE THERE'S A COMPARISON OF THE NUMBER OF LOCAL SWITCHES IN SOUTH



1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CAROLINA THAT JUST IN BELLSOUTH'S TERRITORY USES TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE. THERE'S APPROXIMATELY 150 OF THOSE TODAY IN SOUTH CAROLINA. IN COMPARISON, AT&T PROVIDES SERVICE WITH ONE. NATIONWIDE, THOSE NUMBERS WOULD BE, IN THE LOCAL EXCHANGE, CARRIERS HAVE 23,000 LOCAL SWITCHES WHEREAS AT&T PROVIDES SERVICE WITH JUST OVER 130. DEVELOPING LOCAL NETWORKS IS A LONG-TERM PROPOSITION. LOCAL COMPETITION REQUIRES THAT OTHERS HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE THE BELLSOUTH NETWORK TO PROVIDE SERVICE.

FINALLY, IN ORDER FOR THE

COMMISSION TO TRANSLATE THESE TOOLS INTO CHOICES THE

CONSUMERS WILL ACTUALLY EXPERIENCE, BELLSOUTH IS GOING TO

HAVE TO CHANGE AND INTRODUCE OPERATING SYSTEMS, AS WILL

ALL NEW ENTRANTS, SO THAT THESE NETWORK INGREDIENTS CAN

BE ORDERED AND COMBINED AND SERVICES OFFERED OVER THEM

QUICKLY FOR CONSUMERS. THE ONLY WAY THAT SOUTH CAROLINA

CONSUMERS ARE GOING TO HAVE A CHOICE OF LOCAL TELEPHONE

COMPANIES IS IF IT IS AS EASY FOR A SOUTH CAROLINA

CONSUMER TO CHANGE LOCAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES IN THE

FUTURE AS IT IS TO CHANGE LONG DISTANCE CARRIERS TODAY

AND IF IT'S AS EASY FOR LONG DISTANCE CARRIERS TO BUY AND

USE THESE NETWORK INGREDIENTS TO OFFER LOCAL EXCHANGE

SERVICES.



NOW, IN CONCLUSION, THAT MAY SOUND

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LIKE A SOMEWHAT ONE-SIDED PROPOSITION, BUT IT SHOULD NOT BECAUSE THE ACT, AS MR. LIGHTSEY POINTED OUT, INCLUDES TWO ELEMENTS. IT INCLUDES THE OPPORTUNITY FOR OTHERS TO ENTER THE LOCAL MARKET; AND IF LOCAL COMPETITION IS ESTABLISHED, IT ALSO PROVIDES BELLSOUTH THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE LONG DISTANCE SERVICE. THE DIFFERENCE IS THAT WHEN BELLSOUTH GOES TO OFFER LONG DISTANCE SERVICE, THE LONG DISTANCE NETWORK ELEMENTS ARE ALREADY AVAILABLE TO IT. IT CAN BUY THOSE TODAY. THE OPERATING SYSTEMS TO ORDER THOSE ELEMENTS ALREADY EXIST. THEY WORK TODAY. THE ABILITY FOR CONSUMERS TO CHANGE LONG DISTANCE CARRIERS ALREADY EXISTS. THEY WORK TODAY. THE ONLY WAY ANYONE ELSE WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO COMPETE IS IF THOSE SAME TYPES OF CAPABILITIES ARE MADE AVAILABLE TO CARRIERS TO OFFER LOCAL SERVICE.

THANK YOU.

MS. TAYLOR: DR. KASERMAN.

DR. KASERMAN: THANK YOU.

TESTIMONY OF DR. DAVID L. KASERMAN

(PREFILED TESTIMONY OF DR.

KASERMAN IS AS FOLLOWS:)